IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO – EASTERN DIVISION

In re:

: Case No. 15-51527

Bobst Collision, Inc. : Chapter 11

Judge Hoffman

Debtor.

JOINT STATUS REPORT RE: OBJECTION TO CLAIM

This matter is before the Court following the parties' conference regarding the objection filed by <u>Debtor [Docket No. 85]</u> to the claim of the <u>Ohio Department of Taxation ("DOT") and the response of DOT [Docket No. 97]</u>. The parties hereby submit the following Status Report:

Claimant: Ohio Department of Taxation Claim No. 3

____ Administrative ____ Secured <u>X</u> Unsecured Priority <u>X</u> General Unsecured

Claim Amount \$92,428.72

Claim Bar Date <u>7/22/2015</u> Date claim filed <u>6/17/2015</u>

Basis of Objection to Claim: <u>Debtor states it has made substantial payments to the State</u> of Ohio, Department of Taxation that are not reflected in the Proof of Claim and also questions the breakdown of the taxes owed, penalty and interest assessed.

Basis of Response in Opposition: <u>Creditor states that the tax amounts due are based</u> either on the taxes reported by debtor on filed returns or estimated as permitted by Ohio Revised Code 5747.13(A) and 5751.09(A) due to Debtor's failure to file a return. In regard to the interest and penalty amounts, such amounts were properly assessed as permitted in the relevant Ohio Revised Code sections.

Short Statement of Issues to be Determined: Whether Debtor can now challenge the assessments after failing to timely appeal them and, if so, whether the amounts are properly assessed under Ohio law.

- 1. Are all necessary documents available? X Yes ____ No
- 2. How long until documents can be produced? 30 days
- 3. Have all documents been exchanged? No
- 4. Is additional time needed for discover? Yes
- 5. How much additional time is needed? 60 days
- 6. Would a pretrial/settlement conference be beneficial? Yes
- 7. Would this matter be best resolved by a prompt hearing on the merits? No
- 8. Do parties anticipate calling witnesses at a hearing on the merits? No
- 9. Have the parties exchanged witness lists? No
- 10. By what date will the parties be prepared to present a hearing on the merits? 8/1/2016
- 11. Have the parties made a good faith attempt (at least one offer and counter-offer by a party with authority to settle) to resolve this matter? <u>No</u>

Please list any additional information that would aid the Court in scheduling or resolving this matter: DOT has supplied Debtor with a breakdown of all amounts owed, the statutory framework for the calculation of interest and penalties and the periods for which returns have not been filed. Debtor is in the process of reviewing its records and comparing those to the records provided by the DOT. DOT will review any missing returns submitted by Debtor and adjust its estimated assessments as warranted.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gary A. Sabol

Attorney for Objecting Party Gary A. Sabol (Bar No. <u>0038785</u>)

1530 Demorest Drive Columbus, Ohio 43228 Phone – (614) 871-8970 Fax – (614) 871-5297

Email: sabolg@yahoo.com

Dated: May 6, 2016

/s/ Erin M. Dooley

Attorneys for Claimant

Erin M. Dooley (Bar No. <u>0089092</u>)

Brian M. Gianangeli (0072028)

Charles A. Mifsud (0070498)

Special Counsel to the Attorney General

THE LAW OFFICE OF CHARLES MIFSUD, LLC

6305 Emerald Parkway

Dublin, Ohio 43016 Phone – (614) 389-6401

Fax – (614) 389-6401 Fax – (614) 389-2294

Email: erin.dooley@mifsudlaw.com

Dated: May 6, 2016

###